

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,513	11/28/2003	Tien-Kuei Wen	BHT-3167-167	7588	
7590 06/04/2007 BRUCE H. TROXELL			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1404		STINSON, FRANKIE L			
5205 LEESBURG PIKE FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/722,513	WEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONG IN INC. THE MAILING I	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB.	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	•				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers		•			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau					
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachmen						
	 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/2003.		formal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/722.513

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitt et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,159,734) in view of Marshall et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 2,681,069). Re claim 1, Whitt is cited disclosing a cleaning apparatus for a pillared device (34), comprising:

an outer tank (26), further including thereof opposing sidewalls respective upper edges, said upper edges further having respective openings to allow a shaft extending out from two ends of said pillared device to pass through:

an inner tank (28) within said outer tank for containing said pillared device, supported upon a floor of said outer tank; and

the pillared device being immerse and a lid covering the outer tank that only in the recitation of the interior of lid having spray nozzle. Marshall is cited disclosing the arrangement of washing a pillared where the same includes a lid having nozzles (as at 20, 22). It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Whitt, to have the lid including nozzles as taught by Marshall, for the purpose of enhancing the cleaning effect. It is old and well known that washing efficiency is increased with the increase of washing fluid. Re claim 2, Marshall and Whitt disclose the roller. Re claim 7, no patentable distinction is deemed to exist between the pillared device as claimed, and the pillared device as taught by either Marshal or Whitt.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/722,513

Art Unit: 1746

Re claims 3 and 4, no patentable distinction is deemed to exist between the shape as

claimed and the shape as taught by the applied prior art. This is also applicable to the

type of cleaning fluid used as claimed in claims 5 and 6, since the same is dependent

upon the application of the device being cleaned.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. In Nomura et al., Makita et al., and Puls, note the cleaning

means.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00

pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/722,513

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746